

What is your assessment of the economic implications of the Build-to-Rent sector following the Global Financial Crisis?

Introduction

The global financial crisis (GFC) of 2007-08 completely reshaped housing markets around the world. Unregulated high risk mortgage lending and the failure of major financial institutions brought on a significant tightening of credit. This tightening had a profound effect on people's ability to buy mortgages and become homeowners, making it far more inaccessible. As such, the Build-to-Rent (BTR) industry saw an instant surge in demand to meet the needs of people forced to rent. Since then, BTR projects have not only met this demand, but they have also emerged as major forces behind the stabilisation of rental markets and local economic growth. However, despite this success, these developments continue to face challenges such as possible market saturation and the impacts of bettering mortgage conditions.

Economic Contributions

By emphasising long-term stability and tenant retention, the BTR sector has effectively stabilised rental markets. BTR properties, which are typically found in major cities with strong transport links and easy access to amenities have seen lower vacancy rates and longer lease durations than typical rental models. Because of their strategic placement and focus on longer tenancies, BTR developments are able to withstand normal swings in rental prices, which frequently rise during periods of economic strain. BTR properties minimise volatility in the rental market during times of financial instability by maintaining greater occupancy rates, which provide a consistent rental income (Mulheirn & Gibbs, 2020).

Additionally, due to these longer tenancies and lower vacancy rates, BTR developments have served as a strong investment vehicle, in comparison to other real estate asset classes, experiencing continuous investment even during periods of economic instability, making them a "counter-cyclical" asset that produces steady cash flow regardless of macro-market conditions (Canlon & Kochan, 2011).

Furthermore, by creating jobs during the development and, more importantly, the management stages of properties, BTR developments support local economic growth by offering both short- and long-term employment opportunities.

Beyond creating jobs directly, BTR developments are crucial in urban regeneration, revitalising neighbourhoods and existing properties. This subsequently creates a snowball effect, encouraging more investments and expanding the economic base of an area. Field and Uffer (2016) highlight the ways in which these developments can revitalise communities due to the demands of the tenants. The opening of retail outlets, restaurants and other service-based businesses can all be tied to these BTR developments. Jones Lang Laselle (2021), further shows that the influx of people within an area with disposable income not only helps to support local businesses but also contributes to the tax base, which has a large multiplier effect on the local areas overall economic health.

Economic Challenges and Risks

The BTR sector, which has seen significant growth in cities, may be approaching long-term market saturation. There's a growing fear that as these developments typically cluster in city centres, supply may exceed demand, which will result in higher vacancy rates and lower rental yields. It's possible that the initial surge of interest in demand for BTR post-GFC has led to overdevelopment, resulting in a supply that isn't matched with demand. Further, the idea that BTR investments are "counter-cyclical" assets may be challenged by this over saturation, casting doubt on the economic stability that these investments were supposed to provide post-GFC (Wiley, 2019).

Additionally, the BTR may see increasing levels of over saturation due to the anticipated relaxing of mortgage conditions, which might include lower interest rates and more lenient lending criteria. In particular, plans like the Conservative party's 99 percent mortgage are mentioned as being on the horizon, lowering the barrier of entry for people to become homeowners. Legislative changes like this could revive interest in purchasing homes as they align with the deep-seated cultural preference in the UK for owning property, an attitude that isn't as prevalent in other European countries, where long-term rentals are more common. Thus, when mortgages become more widely available, demand may shift towards homeownership, reducing the market for BTR properties. This cultural inclination towards owning homes combined with the ability to do so through more accessible mortgages, would make it difficult for BTR to continue to provide the stable returns it has been able to.

Moreover, a significant issue that has surfaced is the financial stability of BTR tenants. Tenants' capacity to meet rental commitments has become challenging as the economic landscape has changed, with many workers experiencing job insecurity and unpredictable incomes. This uncertainty is especially noticeable in the people that were hardest hit by the GFC, such as middle-class families and young professionals, who make up the majority of BTR's tenant base.

For BTR to be successful in the short term, rental incomes must be sustained by financially stable renters. Economically challenging times which likely result in higher vacancy rates and lower rental yields, both of which may put pressure on the operating finances of BTR developments. In addition to having an effect on the immediate profitability of these investments, there should be concern to the long-term viability of an asset that relies on the rental incomes from populations that are highly vulnerable to economic shifts (Jones Lang Laselle, 2021).

Conclusion and Future Outlook

BTR has experienced significant growth since the GFC, mostly as a result of tighter lending conditions, which has led to a demand shift towards renting. However, BTR faces various challenges that may affect its viability in the future. Market saturation poses a threat to the viability of the sector due to rising vacancy rates and lowering rental yields, especially in urban areas. Furthermore, as homeownership is strongly preferred in UK culture, the relaxation of mortgage requirements may spark a renewed interest in the mortgage market.

Another risk posed to this sector is the financial stability of tenants, which could affect tenants' ability to pay rent. Looking forward, the BTR industry will need to respond to these challenges by increasing its offerings, through improved and increased amenities, and remaining flexible to changes in consumer preferences and macroeconomic conditions.

In conclusion, the BTR sector's capacity for innovation and adaption will be essential for long-term sustainability as a viable asset class in the ever-evolving housing market environment.

References

Mulheirn, I. & Gibbs, D. (2020). *Stabilising Rental Markets: The Role of BTR Developments*. Journal of Urban Economics.

Canlon, B. & Kochan, T. (2011). *Investment Dynamics in Real Estate: A Post-GFC Analysis*. Journal of Property Investment & Finance.

Field, D. & Uffer, S. (2016). *Urban Regeneration: The Impact of BTR Developments*. Urban Studies, 53(7), 1486-1502.

Wiley, D. (2019). *Market Saturation and Its Impacts on BTR Investments Post-GFC*. Real Estate Issues.

JLL. (2018). *UK Build-to-Rent (BTR) Report*. Available at: <https://www.jll.co.uk/en/trends-and-insights/research/uk-build-to-rent-btr-report> [Accessed 17 April 2024].